1.

8

9

IN THE MATTER OF:

Respondents.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

26

BEFORE THE MONTANA STATE AUDITOR
EX-OFFICIO COMMISSIONER OF SECURITIES AND INSURANCE
HELENA, MONTANA

Case No. C-04-03-07-30

MID-AMERICA ENERGY, INC., a Nevada corporation 103 Bluegrass Commons Blvd. Hendersonville, TN 37077-0738 GARY MILBY, individually, and in HEARING EXAMINER'S his capacity as President of PROPOSED Mid-America Energy FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, CLINTON GOFF, individually, and in) AND his capacity as Operations Manager) ORDER for Mid-America Energy (PURSUANT TO DEFAULT MOTION) SY SCHAIKEN, individually, and in his capacity as a salesperson for Mid-America Energy

On April 2, 2008, the Securities Department of the Office of the Montana State Auditor ("Department") submitted Department's "Motion for Default Order" seeking issuance of an order of default imposing the relief requested in the Department's May 8, 2007, "Notice of Proposed Agency Disciplinary Action and

HEARING EXAMINER'S <u>PROPOSED</u> FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER (PURSUANT TO DEFAULT MOTION) - 1

HEARING EXAMINER'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER (PURSUANT TO DEFAULT MOTION) - 2

Opportunity for Hearing," followed on July 15, 2008, by its submission of "Department's Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order."

Section § 30-10-305(1)(a)(ii), MCA, provides:

- (1) If it appears to the commissioner that any person has engaged or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of parts 1 through 3 of this chapter or any rule or order under this chapter, the commissioner may:
- (a) issue an order directing the person to cease and desist from continuing the act or practice after reasonable notice and opportunity for a hearing. The commissioner may issue a temporary order pending the hearing that:
- (ii) becomes final if the person to whom notice is addressed does not request a hearing within 15 days after receipt of the notice.
- Rules 6.2.101 and 1.3.214 of the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM), respectively provide:

6.2.101 INCORPORATION OF ATTORNEY GENERAL'S MODEL PROCEDURAL RULES BY THE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

- (1) The insurance department of the state auditor's office has adopted the attorney general's Model Procedural Rules by reference to such rules as stated in ARM 1.3.101 through 1.3.234 with the exceptions enumerated in this chapter.
- 1.3.214 MODEL RULE 10 CONTESTED CASES, DEFAULT ORDER
- (1) If a party does not appear to contest an intended agency action, the agency may enter a default order. If a default is entered, the order must contain findings of fact and conclusions of law.

Entry of a default is proper in the event an adverse party fails

to appear to contest the Department's action. Such inaction

renders the facts as alleged in the Department's pleading(s)

undisputed and therefore admitted. At no time have any of the Respondents appeared before the undersigned, or responded to the Department's Motion for a Default Order. Respondents should have responded to the Department's motion not later than the first part of May, 2008, allowing for the mail. As a result, the facts as alleged in the Department's pleading(s) are therefore deemed undisputed, and therefore admitted.

Based on the foregoing, and Respondents' failure to timely request a hearing, the undersigned makes the following proposed:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent, Mid-America Energy, Inc. (Mid-America) was properly served a copy of the Notice of Proposed Agency Disciplinary Action and Opportunity for Hearing and the Temporary Cease and Desist Order pursuant to § 30-10-107(8), MCA, on or about June 12, 2007. Mid-America has not requested a hearing at any time since being served.
- 2. Respondent, Clinton Goff (Goff) was properly served a copy of the Notice of Proposed Agency Disciplinary Action and Opportunity for Hearing and the Temporary Cease and Desist Order pursuant to § 30-10-107(8), MCA, on or about June 12, 2007. Goff has not requested a hearing at any time since being served.

Respondents here include Mid-America Energy, Gary Milby, and Clinton Goff.

Sy Schaiken has not had the service of process perfected to date.

HEARING EXAMINER'S <u>PROPOSED</u> FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER (PURSUANT TO DEFAULT MOTION) - 3

- 3. Respondent, Gary Milby (Milby) was personally served a copy of the Notice of Proposed Agency Disciplinary Action and Opportunity for Hearing and the Temporary Cease and Desist Order by Green County Sheriff, Tim Stumph, Green County, Kentucky, on February 4, 2008. Milby has not requested a hearing at any time since being served.
- 4. Mid-America Energy, Inc. (MAE) is a Nevada Corporation, incorporated on June 25, 2004. The Nevada Secretary of State's Office lists MAE's address as 103 Bluegrass Commons Blvd., Hendersonville, TN 37077-0738. MAE is not now, nor has it ever been registered with the Department in any capacity.
- 5. Gary Milby is the President, Treasurer, Secretary and Director for MAE. Milby is not now, nor has he ever been registered in Montana as a securities salesperson as defined at § 30-10-103(20), MCA.
- 6. Clinton Goff is the Operations Manager for MAE. Goff is not now, nor has he ever been registered in Montana as a securities salesperson as defined at § 30-10-103(20), MCA.
- 7. Sy Schaiken is a salesperson for MAE. Schaiken is not now, nor has he ever been registered in Montana as a securities salesperson as defined at § 30-10-103(20), MCA.
- 8. On or about late February 2007 a Missoula, Montana, resident identified herein as "Complainant" contacted the

Department. At that time Complainant made an oral complaint against Respondents. Complainant delivered copies of documentation supporting the complaint in early March 2007.

- 9. In or about February 2006 Schaiken, acting as a salesperson for MAE contacted Complainant about an investment opportunity in oil. MAE was offering 25 units² of Black Gold Oil #9, LLP, to fund the drilling and completion of three oil wells in Adair County, Kentucky. Schaiken represented to Complainant that each unit of the offering would cost \$24,000 and represented a 2.5% working interest with a 2% net revenue interest in the three oil wells.
- 10. In or about March 2006, Schaiken mailed Complainant a private placement memorandum and other marketing materials for Black Gold Oil #9, LLP. The marketing materials state that investors will receive:
 - 3 guaranteed producing oil wells³
 - Monthly income checks for as much as \$3,600 + per unit for as long as 30-50 years

² These units represent interest or participation in an oil, gas, or mining title or lease or in payments out of production under a title or lease and are described in certificates of cwnership. These units fall within the definition of a security found at § 30-10-103(22), MCA.

³ The marketing materials state that if any of the wells drilled comes up dry, the company (Mid-America Energy) will drill a new well at the company's expense. This is also stated as an assurance for the Black Gold Oil #9 Auxiliary wells and the Black Gold Oil #10 wells.

HEARING EXAMINER'S <u>PROPOSED</u> FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER (PURSUANT TO DEFAULT MOTION) - 5

1.7

- Approximately \$2,100 in monthly income comes to
 over \$1 million in income over 40 years
- Earn 100% return on investment in approximately 12 months
- 11. The marketing materials state that "Mid-America will guarantee that your program will produce 50 barrels of oil a day, or the company will drill you a fourth well at the company's expense."
- 12. In or about March 2006 Complainant was informed that the 25 units for Black Gold Oil #9 LLP were no longer available, but that an offering for Black Gold Oil #9 Auxiliary, LLP was available. The private placement for Black Gold Oil #9

 Auxiliary, LLP offered 4 units. at a cost of \$24,000 each. These units also represented a 2.5% working interest with a 2% net revenue interest in the three oil wells.
- 13. On or about March 30, 2006, Complainant wired \$48,000 from Complainant's personal bank account to an account at SunTrust Bank located in Hendersonville, Tennessee, owned by Black Gold Oil #9 or Black Gold Oil #9 Auxiliary, LLP. Further, on or about April 1, 2006, Complainant and Complainant's spouse completed a subscription agreement to purchase two units of Black Gold Oil #9 Auxiliary, LLP.

б

14. On or about April 10, 2006, Complainant received a "Certificate of Ownership" indicating that Complainant and Complainant's spouse had a 4% net revenue interest in Black Gold Oil #9 Auxiliary, LLP.

15. In or about April 2006 Complainant and Complainant's spouse visited MAE's headquarters in Hendersonville, Tennessee, as well as its oil fields in Adair County, Kentucky. Complainant met with both Milby and Goff. Milby and Goff told Complainant about a second invest opportunity, Black Gold Oil #10, LLP. The offering of Black Gold Oil #10, LLP, was almost identical to Black Gold Oil #9, LLP, with 25 units being offered at a cost of \$24,000 per unit. Each unit represented a 2.5% working interest with a 2% net revenue interest in three oil wells.

- 16. On or about April 20, 2006, Complainant and Complainant's spouse completed the subscription agreement to purchase three units of Black Gold Oil #10, LLP. On or about April 26, 2006, \$72,000 was wired from Complainant's personal bank account to an account owned by Black Gold Oil #10, LLP at SunTrust Bank located in Hendersonville, Tennessee.
- 17. On or about May 22, 2006, Complainant received a "Certificate of Ownership" indicating that Complainant and Complainant's spouse had a 6% net revenue interest in Black Gold Oil #10, LLP.

Complainant numerous times, encouraging Complainant to invest in an Eagle Oil unit offered by MAE. The Eagle Oil unit was similar to the Black Gold Oil units, offering a fractional working interest in three oil wells. Complainant did not invest in this offering.

19. In or about January 2007, Schaiken called Complainant

In or about the fall of 2006, Schaiken called

- 19. In or about January 2007, Schaiken called Complainant informing him that MAE would be sending out K-1 tax forms to investors. Complainant never received a K-1. Complainant never received any monthly dividends, as promised, nor did they receive any other remuneration from MAE. January 2007 was the last contact Complainant has had with MAE or any of its representatives.
- 20. On or about December 6, 2005, the Pennsylvania Securities Commission issued a Summary Order to Cease and Desist against MAE, Milby, and other individuals. This Order alleged the offering and/or sale of unregistered securities in the State of Pennsylvania.
- 21. On or about May 5, 2006, the California Department of Corporations issued a Desist and Refrain Order against MAE, Milby, and other individuals. This Order alleged the offering and/or selling of unregistered securities and misrepresentation

and omission of material fact in the offering and/or selling of those securities in the State of California.

- 22. On or about February 28, 2006, the Arizona Corporation Commission issued a Temporary Cease and Desist Order and Notice of Proposed Agency Action against MAE, Milby, and other individuals. This Order alleged the offering and/or selling of unregistered securities and misrepresentation and omission of material fact in the offering and/or selling of those securities in the State of Arizona.
- 23. On or about September 8, 2006, the Arizona Corporation Commission issued a First Amended Temporary Cease and Desist Order and Notice of Proposed Agency Action against MAE, Milby, and other individuals. This amended action added Goff as a Respondent.
- 24. On or about September 29, 2006, Milby and MAE were ordered by the Superior Court of Arizona to pay the state of Arizona \$1,000,000 for violations of the Security Act and violations of the Temporary Cassa and Desist Order.
- 25. On or about January 16, 2007, the Arizona Corporation Commission issued a permanent Cease and Desist Order against MAE and Milby.
- 26. On or about December 28, 2006, the Alabama Securities Commission issued a Cease and Desist Order against MAE and Milby.

27 HEARING EXAMINER'S PROPOSED FINDINGS

This Order alleged the offering and/or sale of unregistered securities in the State of Alabama.

27. On or about February 13, 2007, Milby and his daughter, Ariel, were featured on MTV's "My Super Sweet 16" television show. The show depicts the planning and birthday celebration of teenagers. Ariel's party took place on or about October 14, 2006, in Greensburg, Kentucky. The party was extravagant, and according to media reports costs in excess of \$100,000. In addition Milby purchased a BMW for Ariel. The Department believes that monies from investors in MAE may have been used to fund the party and BMW.

via mail, however this mail was returned to the Department.

From the foregoing findings of fact, the Hearing Examiner makes the following proposed:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Department's motion for entry of a default order imposing the relief requested in the Department's April 2, 2008, Notice of Proposed Agency Disciplinary Action is granted. § 30-10-305(1)(a)(ii), MCA; ARM 6.2.101 and 1.3.214.
- 2. According to § 30-10-107, MCA, the Montana State Auditor is the Commissioner of Securities (COS).

1.1.

1.6

- 3. The COS has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to \$\ \\$\\$ 30-10-102, 30-10-107, 30-10-201, 30-10-301, 30-10-304, 30-10-305, 30-10-307, MCA.
- 4. MAE, Milby, and Goff may be collectively known as "Respondents."
- 5. Respondents, and each of them, violated § 30-10-201(1), MCA, when they offered and sold units in Black Gold Oil #9, LLP, Black Gold Oil #9 Auxiliary, LLP, and Black Gold Oil #10, LLP, when not registered to do so in the State of Montana.
- 6. Respondents, and each of them, violated § 30-10-202, MCA, when they offered and sold units in Black Gold Oil #9, LLP, Black Gold Oil #9 Auxiliary, LLP, and Black Gold Oil #10, LLP, because the units are unregistered securities in the State of Montana.
- 7. Respondents, and each of them, violated § 30-10-301(1)(b), MCA, when they misrepresented the expected rate of return on investments they offered and sold by guaranteeing and promising unrealistic investment returns.
- 8. Respondents, and each of them, violated § 30-10-301(1)(c), MCA, when they engaged in an act, practice, and course of business that acted as a fraud, when on three occasions they misrepresented the expected rate of return on investments they

.. 12

27 HEARING EXAMINER'S PROPOSED FINDINGS

offered and sold by guaranteeing and promising unrealistic investment returns.

- 9. Respondents, and each of them, violated § 30-10-201(13)(g), MCA, and ARM 6.10.126(1)(q), when they used sales materials that guaranteed and promised unreasonable investment returns on securities they offer and sold to Complainant, a Montana resident.
- 10. Respondents, and each of them, violated § 30-10-201(13)(g), MCA, and ARM 6.10.126(1)(o), when they used sales materials that guaranteed and promised unreasonable investment returns on securities they offered and sold to Complainant, a Montana resident.

From the foregoing Findings of fact and Conclusions of Law, the Hearing Examiner proposes to the Commissioner of Securities (COS) the following:

ORDER

- The Department's motion for entry of a default order imposing the relief requested in the Department's April 2, 2008, Notice of Proposed Agency Disciplinary Action is granted.
- 2. Respondents, and each of them, shall be jointly and severally liable to pay restitution to Complainant in this case, pursuant to § 30-10-309, MCA, in the amount of \$147,513.33.

11,

- 3. Respondent, Milby shall pay a fine of \$5,000 for each of sixteen identifiable violations of § 30-10-301, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305(3), MCA.
- 4. Respondent, Milby shall pay a fine of \$5,000 for each of sixteen identifiable violations of § 30-10-201, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305(3), MCA.
- 5. Respondent, Mid-America Energy shall pay a fine of \$5,000 for each of sixteen identifiable violations of § 30-10-301, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305(3), MCA.
- 6. Respondent, Mid-America Energy shall pay a fine of \$5,000 for each of sixteen identifiable violations of § 30-10-201, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305(3), MCA.
- 7. Respondent, Golf shall pay a fine of \$5,000 for each of sixteen identifiable violations of § 30-10-301, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305(3), MCA.
- 8. Respondent, Goff shall pay a fine of \$5,000 for each of sixteen identifiable violations of § 30-10-201. MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305(3), MCA.
- 9. Respondents shall each pay a fine of \$5,000 for violating § 30-10-201, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-201 (18), MCA.
- 10. Respondents, Mid-America and Milby shall each pay fines of \$5,000 for each identifiable violation of § 30-10-202, MCA, pursuant to § 30-10-305(3), MCA.

Dated this 21st day of July, 2008.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Order (Pursuant to Default Motion) upon all parties of record on the 21st day of July, 2008, by mailing, faxing, or hand delivering a copy thereof to:

Ms. Roberta Cross Guns
State Auditor's Office

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Examiner's Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and

I do hereby certify I served a copy of the foregoing Hearing

Examiner

Helena, MT 59601

Mid-America Energy, Tro:
103 Bluegrass Commons Blvd.

840 Helena Avenue

Gary Milby 321 Victor Reiter Parkway Portland, TN 37148

Clinton Goff 103 Bluegrass Commons Blvd. Hendersonville, TN 37077-0738

Hendersonville, TN 37077-0738

Sy Schaiken 105 Mallard Drive Hendersonville, TN, 37075

Gundalin A Vashra